

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/661,381	DUBROW, ROBERT	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Melvin Curtis Mayes	1734	

All Participants:

(1) Melvin Curtis Mayes.

(2) Andrew Filler.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 January 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

of record

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Agreed to cancel the withdrawn claims, correct the typo in Claim 56 and delete "silicon oxide" from Claims 40, 43, 61 and 65, as subject matter that would require further consideration for possible prosecution in a continuation application.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)